#### ATENT COOPERATION TREATY

JAN 0 4 2005

## SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT

#### From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. Intellectual Property Department Attn. Couturier, Shelley L. 5030 Sugarloaf Parkway Lawrenceville, GA 30044 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

(PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 27/12/2004 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below F-8772-PC International filing date International application No. (day/month/year) 14/09/2004 PCT/US2004/030012 SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.

| ١. | x               | The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.   |
|----|-----------------|--|
|    |                 | Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:  The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):  When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.   |
|    |                 | Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35   |
|    |                 | For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.   |
| 2. |                 | The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.   |
| 3. | П               | With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:  |
|    |                 | the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.  no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.  |
| 1. | Rem             | inders   |
|    | Interi<br>appli | tly after the expiration of <b>18 months</b> from the priority date, the international application will be published by the national Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international ication, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively, re the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. |
|    | Inter           | applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the national Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an national preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to bublic but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.                                       |
|    | exan<br>date    | in 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary nination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.                         |
|    | In res          | spect of other designated Offices, the time limit of <b>30 months</b> (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19   |

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

Applicant

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Authorized officer

Jolanda Offerman-Hazeleger

## ATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference   | FOR FURTHER see Form PCT/ISA/220                                      |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| F-8772-PC   | ACTION  | as well as, where applicable, item 5 below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| International application No.   | International filing date (day/mon                                    | th/year)                                    | (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)            |  |  |  |  |  |
| PCT/US2004/030012 14/09/2004 15/09/2003   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.                          |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| This International Search Report consists of a total of sheets.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | a copy of each prior art document                                     |   | report.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basis of the report     a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.           |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The international this Authority (Ru  |   | s of a trans                                | lation of the international application furnished to |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. With regard to any <b>nucle</b>  | D www. A state of the interpolation of the interpolation on Pov No. I |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box III).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. With regard to the <b>title</b> ,  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. With regard to the abstract,   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| X the text is approved as s   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applican may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. With regards to the drawings,  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be  | published with the abstract is Figur                                  | e <b>N</b> o. <u>6</u>                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| as suggested by   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>  | is Authority, because the applicant                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | is Authority, because this figure be                                  | tter charact                                | erizes the invention.                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. none of the figures is to b  | pe published with the abstract.                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### INTERMATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ational Application No

PCT/US2004/030012 a. classification of subject matter IPC 7 H04N7/24 H04N G11B20/12 H04N5/00 H04N7/26 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) H04N IPC 7 G11B Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 1-3,5,6,EP 1 195 995 A (PACE MICRO TECH PLC) X 8-24,26. 10 April 2002 (2002-04-10) 28,30-38 4,7,9, paragraph '0013! - paragraph '0021! Υ 25,27, 29,39,40 4,7,9, "Video codec I.E.G. RICHARDSON, Y. ZHAO: Υ complexity management" 25,27, 29,40 PCS01, 'Online! 30 April 2001 (2001-04-30), XP002309519 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:citeseer.ist.psu.edu> 'retrieved on 2004-12-07! paragraph '0001! Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. X ° Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the citation or other special reason (as specified) document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 27/12/2004 8 December 2004 **Authorized officer** 

Name and mailing address of the ISA

1

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016

Valencia, E

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US2004/030012

| Citation   DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT   |
|--|
| Y W0 01/13625 A (GEN INSTRUMENT CORP; MORONEY PAUL (US)) 22 February 2001 (2001-02-22) abstract  A TOPIWALA P: "STATUS OF THE EMERGING ITU-T/H.264 / ISO/MPEG-4, PART 10 VIDEO CODING STANDARD" PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPIE, SPIE, BELLINGHAM, VA, US, vol. 4790, 8 July 2002 (2002-07-08), pages 261-277, XP008019409 ISSN: 0277-786X |
| MORONEY PAUL (US)) 22 February 2001 (2001-02-22) abstract  1-38,40  TOPIWALA P: "STATUS OF THE EMERGING ITU-T/H.264 / ISO/MPEG-4, PART 10 VIDEO CODING STANDARD" PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPIE, SPIE, BELLINGHAM, VA, US, vol. 4790, 8 July 2002 (2002-07-08), pages 261-277, XP008019409 ISSN: 0277-786X                                |
| TOPIWALA P: "STATUS OF THE EMERGING 9,25,29, ITU-T/H.264 / ISO/MPEG-4, PART 10 VIDEO CODING STANDARD" PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPIE, SPIE, BELLINGHAM, VA, US, vol. 4790, 8 July 2002 (2002-07-08), pages 261-277, XP008019409 ISSN: 0277-786X   |
| ITU-T/H.264 / ISO/MPEG-4, PART 10 VIDEO  CODING STANDARD"  PROCEEDINGS OF THE SPIE, SPIE, BELLINGHAM,  VA, US,  vol. 4790, 8 July 2002 (2002-07-08), pages  261-277, XP008019409  ISSN: 0277-786X  |
|  |

1

## INTERMATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Infor...ation on patent family members

It ational Application No PCT/US2004/030012

| 1 | Patent document ed in search report |   | Publication<br>date | •  | Patent family member(s)   |                                     | Publication<br>date  |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Е | P 1195995                           | Α | 10-04-2002          | EP<br>US   | 1195995 /<br>2002039483 /   |                                     | 10-04-2002<br>04-04-2002   |
| W | 0 0113625                           | A | 22-02-2001          | AU<br>BR<br>CA<br>CN<br>EP<br>TW<br>WO<br>US<br>US | 7881200 /<br>0013411 /<br>2381562 /<br>1372759 1<br>206874 /<br>540231  <br>0113625 /<br>6532593  <br>6441754 | A<br>A1<br>T<br>A1<br>B<br>A1<br>B1 | 13-03-2001<br>30-04-2002<br>22-02-2001<br>02-10-2002<br>22-05-2002<br>01-07-2003<br>22-02-2001<br>11-03-2003<br>27-08-2002 |
| _ |                                     |   |                     |  |   |                                     |  |

## ATENT COOPERATION TRE

| INTE   | RNATIONAL SEA  | RCHING AUTHO   | ORITY   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| То:  |  |  |   | PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)                               |  |  |  |  |
|  | see form   | PCT/ISA/220  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |   | Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)  FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below |  |  |  |  |
|  | licant's or agent's file<br>form PCT/ISA/22  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| i  | rnational application I<br>T/US2004/030012   |  | International filing date (                           | day/month/year)  | Priority date (day/month/year) 15.09.2003  |  |  |  |
|  | International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04N7/24, H04N5/00, H04N7/26, G11B20/12  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.                             |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.   | This opinion co  | ontains indication   | ons relating to the fol                               | lowing items:  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☑ Box No. I  | Basis of the op  | pinion  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 🖾 Box No. II   | Priority   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited                           |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Box No. VIII   | Certain observations on the international application  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.   | FURTHER ACT  | ION  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | written opinion o  | f the Internation<br>coses an Author<br>reau under Rule  | al Preliminary Examinin<br>ity other than this one to | ig Authority ("IPEA").  b be the IPEA and the  | Il usually be considered to be a<br>However, this does not apply where<br>chosen IPEA has notifed the<br>ational Searching Authority |  |  |  |
|  | If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,

whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Valencia, E

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4568



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030012

|    | Вох    | No. I           | Basis of the opinion   |
|----|--------|-----------------|--|
| 1. |        |                 | rd to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in tige in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.   |
|    | 1      | langu           | ppinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).  |
| 2. |        |                 | rd to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:   |
|    | a. typ | oe of           | material:  |
|    |        | as              | sequence listing   |
|    |        | l tab           | ple(s) related to the sequence listing   |
|    | b. for | rmat o          | of material:   |
|    |        | ] in            | written format   |
|    |        | ] in            | computer readable form   |
|    | c. tim | ne of t         | filing/furnishing:   |
|    |        | l co            | ntained in the international application as filed.   |
|    |        | ] file          | ed together with the international application in computer readable form.  |
|    |        | ] fur           | nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.  |
| 3. | ł      | has b<br>copie: | dition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Addit  | tional          | comments:  |

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030012

|    | Box  | No. II                 | Priority   |                   |                                      |   |  |
|----|--|------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. | $\boxtimes$  | The foll               | lowing document has n                              | ot bee            | n furnished:                         |   |  |
|    |  | $\boxtimes$            | copy of the earlier app                            | lication          | n whose prior                        | ity has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).   |  |
|    |  |                        | translation of the earlie                          | er appl           | ication whose                        | e priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).   |  |
|    |  | Consec<br>neverth      | quently it has not been<br>neless been established | possib<br>d on th | le to conside<br>e assumptior        | r the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.   |  |
| 2. | ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. |                        |  |                   |                                      |   |  |
| 3. |  | was no                 | it available to the ISA a                          | t the ti          | me that the s                        | the priority claim because a copy of the priority document<br>earch was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has<br>that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. |  |
| 4. | Add  | litional o             | observations, if necessa                           | ary:              |                                      |   |  |
|    |  |                        |  |                   |                                      |   |  |
|    |  | No. V                  | Reasoned stateme applicability; citations          | nt und            | er Rule 43 <i>bi</i><br>explanations | s.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or supporting such statement   |  |
| 1. | Sta  | tement                 |  |                   |                                      |   |  |
|    | Nov  | elty (N)               |  | Yes:<br>No:       | Claims<br>Claims                     | 39,40<br>1-38   |  |
|    | Inve   | entive st              | tep (IS)   | Yes:<br>No:       | Claims<br>Claims                     | 39,40   |  |
|    | Indi   | ustrial a <sub>l</sub> | pplicability (IA)                                  | Yes:<br>No:       | Claims<br>Claims                     | 1-40  |  |
| 2. | Cita   | ations ar              | nd explanations                                    |                   |                                      |   |  |
|    | see  | separa                 | ate sheet  |                   |                                      |   |  |

#### Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:
  - D1: EP 1 195 995 A (PACE MICRO TECH PLC) 10 April 2002 (2002-04-10)
  - D2: WO 01/13625 A (GEN INSTRUMENT CORP; MORONEY PAUL (US)) 22 February 2001 (2001-02-22)
  - D3: I.E.G. RICHARDSON, Y. ZHAO: "Video codec complexity management" PCS01, [Online] 30 April 2001 (2001-04-30), XP002309519 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:citeseer.ist.psu.edu>; [retrieved on 2004-12-07]
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 5, 10, 14, 19
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): A method comprising the steps of:

- encoding a video stream in a first compressed format (par 13);
- storing the first compressed video stream in a storage device (par 13),
- retrieve the first compressed video stream (by direct and unambiguous implication from paragraph 16),
- decode the first compressed video stream (by direct and unambiguous implication from paragraph 16),
- encode the decoded video stream in a second compressed format (par 16-19),
- store the video stream encoded in the second compressed format in the storage device (par 16-19).
- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 23, 26, 30, 33, 37
- 3.1 The objection 2.1. above applies, mutatis mutandis, to set top terminal claims 23, 26, 30, 33 and 37 which are also not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 39
- 4.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 39 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- 4.1.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 39, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A method comprising the steps of:

- storing a video presentation (par 1 and 13);
- transcoding a the video presentation a first compressed format (par 16-19);
- decoding and providing the stored video presentation to a user (par 2),
- 4.1.2 The subject-matter of independent claim 39 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that : only a second portion of the video presentation is transcoding in a second format.
- 4.1.3 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing a recompression process to save space on th HDD of the system of D1 that does not necessarily require that w hole program is entirely transcoded.
- 4.1.4 In view of D2 the solution proposed in claim 39 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since D2, also in the field of transcoding process in a set top box discloses to transcode a part of a program as a normal possibility.
- 4.1.5 Therefore the features disclosed in D1 and D2 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 39 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 5 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 40
- 5.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 40 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- 5.1.1 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 40, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A method comprising the steps of:

- encoding a video stream in a first compressed format (par 13);
- storing the first compressed video stream in a storage device (par 13),
- retrieve the first compressed video stream (by direct and unambiguous implication from paragraph 16),
- decode the first compressed video stream (by direct and unambiguous implication from paragraph 16),
- encode the decoded video stream in a second compressed format (par 16-19),
- store the video stream encoded in the second compressed format in the storage device (par 16-19).
- 5.1.2 The subject-matter of independent claim 40 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that the second compressed format is H264, which is one of the obvious possibilities of a more efficient compression format in terms of compression rate in order to save space on the HDD as disclosed in D1. The skilled person would select this second format without the exercise of any inventive skill, see for example D3.
- 5.1.3 Therefore the features disclosed in D1 and D3 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 40 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 6. Dependent claims 2-4, 6-9, 11-13, 15-18, 20-22, 24, 25, 27-29, 31, 32, 34-36, 38

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/030012

do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).